

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 24 2009

001/002

ANDREW S. NEELY
MARK S. GRAHAM
ROBERT O. FOX
DAVID E. LAROSE
GEOFFREY D. KRESSIN
AUGUST E. ROENRIG, JR.
RICHARD W. BARNES, JR.
MARK P. CROCKETT
J. DAVID GONCE
JULIE A. BIRDWELL
MICHAEL J. BRADFORD
PATRICK B. HORNE
LEAH ROBINSON
MICHAEL E. ROBINSON

FRED THOMSEN
Of Counsel

LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 1871
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37901-1871

PHONE (865) 546-4305
FACSIMILE (865) 523-4478
E-MAIL: firm@LNG-parent.com

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
INCLUDING PATENTS,
TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS,
TRADE SECRETS,
TECHNOLOGY LICENSING
AND RELATED LITIGATION

SHIPPING ADDRESS:
SUITE 1871, RIVERVIEW TOWER
900 SOUTH GAY STREET
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37902

September 24, 2009
FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO: Examiner Sandra Wegert Fax # 571-273-8300
FROM: Mark Graham, Esq., Reg. No. 32,355
re: Process for the Purification of Recombinant Polypeptides
U.S. Application No. 10/568,332 filed 02/13/2006;
Applicant: Gunter Stempfer/ Confirmation No. 6279;
Sandoz Docket No. BP/G-33315A/BCK (LNG File No. 61310.US)
cc: Mark Bowditch, Esq.
Rashida Dias
J. David Gonce, Esq.
Ed LaRose

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER PAGE, 2

Dear Examiner Wegert:

Ed LaRose of our office called you September 17, 2009, regarding the above-referenced case. We understand that a mistake was inadvertently made on the part of the USPTO and that it was determined to withdraw the Final Office Action of May 1, 2009, and issue a new Non-Final Office Action.

We also understood from Mr. LaRose that you would be acting on this case in the near future, that there is no need for us to file a petition for an extension of time or take any other action at this time, and that we should sit tight and wait further word from your office before doing anything further. If this is incorrect, please let us know ASAP.

We have checked PAIR in this regard and do not find a new Non-Final Office Action or any other entry by the USPTO since our last submission. The last entry is the Amendment After Final we filed July 31, 2009, responsive to the May 1, 2009, Final Office Action. It concerns us that so

Examiner Wegert
September 24, 2009
Page 2

much time has passed with no official word from the USPTO with regard to disposition of this case in light of our response filed on July 31, which merely adopted the Examiner's suggestions to rewrite objected-to claims in independent form, etc., and which should have resulted in allowance of the case.

Please send us a confirmation of your concurrence of our understanding from your telephone conference with Mr. LaRose. If you have any questions or would like to speak with us about this case, please feel free to call me at (865) 546-4305.

Best regards.

Mark Graham

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the addressee listed above. If you have received this telescopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.